Academic Writing Rubric				
Exemplary				Unacceptable
Thesis	Includes a concise, clear and focused thesis statement on a topic of significant scholarly interest	Includes a concise, clear and focused thesis statement on a topic	Thesis statement lacks focus, remains unclear	No apparent thesis statement
Quality of content	Content is theoretically substantial and reflects considerable conceptual development and analysis Fully conversant with current research on the field and topic, publishable, in principle, with minor editing	Content is theoretically sound and reflects some conceptual development and analysis Aware of current research on the field and topic	Content shows little theoretical support. Concepts are not well defined or developed Shows little awareness of current research	Content lacks theoretical support. Concepts are not defined or developed Not conversant with research
Development of argument	Argument is compelling and fully substantiates thesis Includes a variety of evidence	Argument is sound and supports thesis Evidence supports thesis	Argument is poorly constructed and does not support thesis Evidence does not adequately	Lacks logical argument Evidence is irrelevant to thesis
	in support of thesis Deals with possible objections	Identifies possible objections	support thesis Unaware of possible objections; contains irrelevant material	Unaware of possible objections; contains irrelevant material
Organization	Contains a clear and persuasive logical structure Includes effective headings/ subheadings, introduction, conclusion and transitions Paragraphs are well ordered and include compelling topic sentences	Contains a clear logical structure Includes introduction, conclusion Well ordered paragraphs and topic sentences	Introduction and conclusions remain ineffective Paragraphs lack logical sequence. Topics sentences absent or ineffective. Pursues tangential flow of thought	No apparent structure Introduction and or conclusions are omitted. Random flow of thought Random flow of thought
Sources	Demonstrates a skillful understanding and use of a robust number and type of scholarly sources including monographs and peer-reviewed journal articles	Demonstrates understanding and use of sufficient scholarly sources including monographs and peer-reviewed journal articles	Demonstrates marginal understanding and use of scholarly sources	Sources used are not scholarly
Quotations	Effectively integrates quotations to support the developing argument Compelling and skillful use of quotations All sources are properly cited	Effectively integrates quotations to support the developing argument Use of quotes does not replace one's own thinking All sources are properly cited	Quotations are not effectively integrated in support of argument Quotations replace author's own thinking Sources are improperly cited	Quotations are irrelevant or misused Quotations replace author's own thinking Citations are missing, resulting in plagiarism
Style	Writing style is clear, focused and concise, writing reflects a variety of rhetorical devices and sentence structure Tone is scholarly and not overly personal Paper conforms to assigned length	Writing style is clear, focused and concise Tone is scholarly and not overly personal Paper conforms to assigned length	Writing style lacks clarity and focus Tone is not appropriate to the assignment Paper does not conform to assigned length	Writing style obscures the writer's thoughts Tone is not appropriate to the assignment Paper does not conform to assigned length
Writing mechanics	Grammar, syntax, punctuation and formatting reflect few, if any, errors Turabian guidelines for footnotes and bibliography are fully followed	Grammar, syntax, punctuation and formatting reflect few errors Turabian guidelines for footnotes and bibliography are fully followed	Grammar, syntax, punctuation and formatting contain numerous errors Turabian guidelines for	Grammar, syntax, punctuation and formatting are significantly flawed Turabian guidelines for footnotes and bibliography are ignored